UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,154	03/07/2006	Eberhard Kull	071308.0684	3421	
31625 BAKER BOTT	7590 06/07/200°	7	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT			HUFTY, JOHN PAGE		
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039		00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3747		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	,			•		
, -		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/595,154	KULL, EBERHARD			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		J. Page Hufty	3747	•		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/10	0/2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims			•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(a	d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 04/10/2007	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 7, 9 - 16, 18 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann, U.S.

Patent 4,296,887 in view Takeuchi U.S. Patent

4,566,316.

Hofmann teaches a sleeve which houses and protects an injection nozzle (fig 1, feature 20) resting against a cylinder head (feature 17), having a lower end assigned to the combustion chamber with an upper end having an annular flange (feature 21, claim 1) a sealing ring (feature 23; claim 3) the sleeve "guided to the edge area of the hole" (fig 1), constructed for heat protection or "making contamination more difficult" (column 1, line 5-10; claim 1).

Art Unit: 3747

Hoffmann lacks a piezoelectric pressure sensor positioned between the sleeve and seal. However the use of these pressure sensors mounted in a cylinder head is well known in the art (see Takeuchi column 1, line 32-35). Takeuchi teaches the use of this type of sensor for the purpose of measuring pressure (column 1, line 5-8 and lines 35-40).

A person of ordinary skill in the art of fuel injection systems has an undergraduate degree in mechanical engineering or the equivalent from on the job experience, additionally this person is well aware of the need for combustion regulation, sealing concerns and available options for achieving these goals.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the sensor of Takeuchi with the sleeve of Hoffmann for the purpose of measuring cylinder pressure.

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman and Takeuchi as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Zabeck et al U.S. Patent 5,226,397. To the extent that Hoffman does not expressly teach a friction reducing coating Zabeck teaches the use of a friction reducing coating in contact areas for actuation (column 5, line 47 -57).

Therefore it would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art as described above at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Zabeck with Hoffman and Takeuchi for ease of actuation of the sleeve.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not found to be persuasive. Applicant's argument that the bushing 20 of Hofmann will not translate cylinder pressure is not found to be accurate by the examiner.

Art Unit: 3747

The sensor of Takeuchi is capable of reading cylinder pressure fluctuations translated through an engine's spark plug, which is securely threaded in the cylinder head (see Takeuchi fig. 5). The intense pressure of combustion in an engine's cylinder is still translated through the threaded spark plug mounting in spite of the sealing force of its secure threaded mounting in the cylinder head.

The sleeve 20 of Hofmann is similarly secured in the cylinder head, under the force of the clamping nut 24 and the mounting relation with the injector nozzle and sealing lip (Hofmann: features 10, 24). Though the actual movement will be small, the combustion pressure will still be translated through the sleeve 20 of Hofmann as the sleeve is subjected to the same intense pressure of combustion of the spark plug of Takeuchi.

Applicant's contention that measurable pressure translation will only occur if the sleeve 20 is modified to specifically provide translation is shown

Art Unit: 3747

to not be the case, given the ability of the sensor of Takeuchi to measure the pressure fluctuations through a threaded down spark plug mounted in a cylinder head. It is therefore inherent to the structure of Hofmann that the sealing ring 23 will experience the force of combustion as translated through the sleeve 20.

Applicant concludes;

"Thus, Hoffmann expressly teaches that the sealing lip 22 firmly presses against the plug 10 so that the bushing 20 has not ability to translate between the plug 10 and the cylinder head 14."

This conclusion does not take into account the entire environment that the sleeve 20 of Hofmann exists in and the statement that Hofmann expressly teaches inability to translate is not the case. Nowhere in Hofmann is it expressly stated that the sleeve will not fluctuate or move slightly under the force of combustion. Rather Hofmann is addressing the general mounting procedure of his sleeve.

Applicant's statement regarding obviousness to combine states:

Art Unit: 3747

A second premise of the invention is that it would have been obvious to combine the sensor of Takeuchi with the sleeve of Hoffmann for the purpose of measuring cylinder pressure. However, this premise also fails because, even if this combination could be made, it would not measure cylinder pressure. As noted above, the sealing lip 22 prevents the bushing 20 from translating between the plug 10 and the cylinder head 14 so that changes in cylinder pressure have no communication path to a sensor.

Again this argument is based on the premise that the sleeve 20 of Hofmann is unable to translate any fluctuation in pressure occurring in a cylinder that it is directly exposed to. Examiner for the reasons stated above takes the position that this is not the case and that there will be movement akin to that of the spark plug of Takeuchi, which however slight, is detectable for the purpose of generating a pressure reading.

Examiner therefore maintains rejections of claims 1-18 as proper.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Page Hufty whose telephone number is 571-272-9966. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:00pm, Mon- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3747

Page 9

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JPH J. TS I-WITH

STEPHEN K. CRONIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER